arXiv:1409.1851v3 [math.CA] 30 Mar 2015

Hardy type asymptotics for cosine series in several variables with decreasing power-like coefficients

Victor Kozyakin

Institute for Information Transmission Problems
Russian Academy of Sciences
Bolshoj Karetny lane 19, Moscow 127994 GSP-4, Russia

Abstract

The investigation of the asymptotic behavior of trigonometric series near the origin is a prominent topic in mathematical analysis. For trigonometric series in one variable, this problem was exhaustively studied by various authors in a series of publications dating back to the work of G. H. Hardy, 1928.

Trigonometric series in several variables have got less attention. The aim of the work is to partially fill this gap by finding the asymptotics of trigonometric series in several variables with the terms, having a form of 'one minus the cosine' up to a decreasing power-like factor:

$$\sum_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\{0\}} \frac{1}{\|z\|^{d+\alpha}}(1-\cos\langle z,\theta\rangle), \qquad \theta\in\mathbb{R}^d,$$

where $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is the standard inner product and $\|\cdot\|$ is the max-norm on $\mathbb{R}^d$.

The approach developed in the paper is quite elementary and essentially algebraic. It does not rely on the classic machinery of the asymptotic analysis such as slowly varying functions, Tauberian theorems or the Abel transform. However, in our case, it allows to obtain explicit expressions for the asymptotics and to extend to the general case $d\geq 1$ classical results of G. H. Hardy and other authors known for $d=1$.

Keywords: Trigonometric series in several variables, Power-like coefficients, Decreasing coefficients, Asymptotic behavior at zero, Hardy type asymptotics
PACS: 02.30.Lt, 02.30.Nw
2010 MSC: 42A32, 42A10, 42A16, 42B05

1. Introduction

Given a real number $\alpha>0$, consider the function

$$F_d(\theta) := \sum_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\{0\}} \frac{1}{\|z\|^{d+\alpha}}(1-\cos\langle z,\theta\rangle), \qquad \theta\in\mathbb{R}^d. \tag{1}$$

Email address: kozyakin@iitp.ru (Victor Kozyakin)
URL: http://www.iitp.ru/en/users/46.htm (Victor Kozyakin)

March 31, 2015

Here $\mathbb{Z}^d$ is the lattice of points from $\mathbb{R}^d$ with integer coordinates, $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is the standard inner product and $\|\cdot\|$ is the max-norm on $\mathbb{R}^d$ defined by

$$\langle x, y\rangle = x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 + \cdots + x_dy_d, \quad \|x\| = \max\{|x_1|, |x_2|, \ldots, |x_d|\},$$

where $x = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_d\}$, $y = \{y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_d\}$.

The series in (1) is uniformly convergent for any $\alpha > 0$ and therefore the function $F_d(\theta)$ is non-negative and continuous, and $F_d(0) = 0$. We will be interested in study of the asymptotic behavior of $F_d(\theta)$ as $\theta \to 0$. An example of the function $F_d(\theta)$ for $d = 2$ is plotted in Fig. 1.



Figure 1: Graph of the function $F_2(\theta)$ for $\alpha = 0.5$.

For $d = 1$, the function $F_d(\theta)$ can be represented in the form $F_d(\theta) = 2H_\alpha(\theta)$, where

$$H_\alpha(\theta) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\alpha}}(1 - \cos n\theta), \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{2}$$

and its asymptotics as $\theta \to 0$ can be described with the help of classical results going back to the work of G. H. Hardy [1] in which it was shown that for the functions

$$f(\theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cos n\theta, \qquad g(\theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \sin n\theta,$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $n^\alpha a_n \to 1$, the following asymptotics as $\theta \to 0+$ are valid:

$$f(\theta) \simeq \Gamma(1-\alpha)\sin\Big(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\Big)\theta^{\alpha-1}, \qquad g(\theta) \simeq \Gamma(1-\alpha)\cos\Big(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\Big)\theta^{\alpha-1}. \tag{3}$$

Here $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the gamma function, and the notation $h_1(\theta) \simeq h_2(\theta)$ as $\theta \to \theta_0$, for functions $h_1(\theta)$ and $h_2(\theta)$, means that $h_1(\theta)/h_2(\theta) \to 1$ as $\theta \to \theta_0$.

If $\alpha = 1$, that is, $na_n \to 1$ then instead of (3) there are valid the following limit relations: $f(\theta) \simeq \log(1/|\theta|)$ and $g(\theta) \to \frac{\pi}{2}$ as $\theta \to 0+$ [2]. S. Aljančić, R. Bojanić and M. Tomić proved in [3] that the second of asymptotics (3) is valid also for $0 < \alpha < 2$, and C. H. Yong in [4, 5] analyzed the asymptotic behavior of the function $f(\theta)$ for all $\alpha > 1$ and of the function $g(\theta)$ for all $\alpha \geq 2$.

So, in [1–5] the asymptotic behavior of the functions $f(\theta)$ and $g(\theta)$ has been completely investigated for all $\alpha > 0$. In particular, from [4, 5] it follows that

$$H_\alpha(\theta) \simeq H^*_\alpha(\theta) := \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{\alpha}\Gamma(1-\alpha)\cos\Big(\dfrac{\pi\alpha}{2}\Big)|\theta|^\alpha & \text{for } 0 < \alpha < 2, \\ \dfrac{1}{2}\theta^2 \log\dfrac{1}{|\theta|} & \text{for } \alpha = 2, \\ \dfrac{1}{2}\zeta(\alpha-1)\theta^2 & \text{for } \alpha > 2, \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

where $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$ is the Riemann zeta function. The quantity $\Gamma(1-\alpha)\cos(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2})$ in (4) is indeterminate when $\alpha = 1$ since $\Gamma(0) = \infty$ and $\cos(\frac{\pi}{2}) = 0$. This indeterminate form can be resolved by treating $\Gamma(1-\alpha)\cos(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2})$ in the case $\alpha = 1$ as $\lim_{\alpha\to 1-}\Gamma(1-\alpha)\cos(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}) = \frac{\pi}{2}$. This indeterminate form can be resolved also with the help of the identity $\Gamma(1-\alpha)\cos(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}) \equiv \frac{\pi}{2\Gamma(\alpha)\sin(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2})}$.

Note that in works [1–5], as well as in subsequent publications [6–10], one can find quite a number of deeper results than those mentioned earlier, part of which are included in monograph [11, Ch. V].

From (4) it follows that $F_1(\theta) \simeq 2H^*_\alpha(\theta)$ for each $\alpha > 0$. Behavior of the functions $F_1(\theta)$ and $2H^*_\alpha(\theta)$ is illustrated in Fig. 2.



(a) $\alpha = 0.5$ (b) $\alpha = 1.0$ (c) $\alpha = 1.5$

Figure 2: Graphs of $F_1(\theta)$ (solid line) and $2H^*_\alpha(\theta)$ (dash line) for some values of the parameter α.

For $d \geq 2$ the asymptotic behavior of the function $F_d(\theta)$ at zero is much less studied. We would like to mention that for the function $F_2(\theta)$ E. Yarovaya [12, 13] obtained a lower bound of order $\|\theta\|^\alpha$ near the origin. A similar result for $F_3(\theta)$ has been established by A. Rytova, a student of E. Yarovaya (unpublished).

In connection with this the aim of the work is to prove in Section 2 Theorems 1–4 which provide an explicit description of the asymptotic behavior of the function $F_d(\theta)$ as $\theta \to 0$ for all $d \geq 2$.

It is not clear whether it is possible to apply, in the case $d \geq 2$, the methods developed in [1–10] for studying the asymptotics of trigonometric series due to their one-dimensional specificity. Therefore in our case we use the approach of "reduction to dimension one" allowing to express the function $F_d(\theta)$ as an explicit combination of the one-dimensional functions $F_1(\cdot)$, or rather of the functions $H_\alpha(\cdot)$. This gives an opportunity to reduce the analysis of the case $d \geq 2$ to the case $d = 1$. A similar idea was used in [12, 13].

Outline the structure of the work. In this section we have explained the motivation for the analysis of asymptotic behavior of the series (1) in several variables, and have

presented a concise review of the publications related to this problem. In Section 2 the main results are formulated. Theorem 1 there, about representation of the function $F_d(\theta)$ in the form of a finite integral combination of the functions $H_\alpha(\theta)$ with different values of the parameter α, plays the key role. This theorem reduces the analysis of the behavior of the function $F_d(\theta)$ for $d \geq 2$ to the case $d = 1$. With the help of Theorem 1, in Theorems 2 and 3 an explicit form of the asymptotics for $F_d(\theta)$ is obtained for all $\alpha > 0$, and in Theorem 4 the asymptotics of the series $\sum a_z(1 - \cos\langle z, \theta\rangle)$ with the coefficients a_z asymptotically equivalent to $\|z\|^{-(d+\alpha)}$ is established. The section is finalized by computation of the asymptotics of the function $F_d(\theta)$ in the cases $d = 2, 3$. The subsequent Sections 3–6 are devoted to the proofs of Theorems 1–4.

2. Main Results

For each $m = 1, 2 \ldots, d$ let $\mathcal{J}_m$ denote the set of all sequences $\{j_1, \ldots, j_d\}$ with pairwise distinct elements $j_k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, d\}$ such that $j_1 \leq \ldots \leq j_m$ and $j_{m+1} \leq \ldots \leq j_d$. In the case $m = d$ the sequence $\{j_{m+1}, \ldots, j_d\}$ is assumed to be empty. Let also $\mathcal{U}_m$ denote the set of all sequences $\{s_1, \ldots, s_m\}$ with the elements $s_i = \pm 1$, and $\mathcal{O}_d$ stand for the set of all natural odd numbers not exceeding d.

Theorem 1. *Let $d \geq 2$ and $\alpha > 0$. Then*

$$F_d(\theta) = 2 \sum_{m \in \mathcal{O}_d} \sum_{\{j_k\} \in \mathcal{J}_m} \zeta(m+\alpha) \Big(2^{d-m} - \Big(\theta_{j_{m+1}} \cot \frac{\theta_{j_{m+1}}}{2} \Big) \cdots \Big(\theta_{j_d} \cot \frac{\theta_{j_d}}{2} \Big) \Big)$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2^{d-1}} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{O}_d} \sum_{\{j_k\} \in \mathcal{J}_m} \Bigg(\cot \frac{\theta_{j_{m+1}}}{2} \cdots \cot \frac{\theta_{j_d}}{2}$$
$$\times \sum_{\{s_k\} \in \mathcal{U}_m} \int_{-\theta_{j_d}}^{\theta_{j_d}} \cdots \int_{-\theta_{j_{m+1}}}^{\theta_{j_{m+1}}} H_{m+\alpha-1}(s_1\theta_{j_1} + \cdots + s_m\theta_{j_m}$$
$$+ \eta_{m+1} + \cdots + \eta_d)\, d\eta_{m+1} \ldots d\eta_d \Bigg), \quad (5)$$

where $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$ is the Riemann zeta function.

By using asymptotic equalities (4) for the function $H_\alpha(\cdot)$, from the previous theorem it is easy to derive an explicit form for the asymptotics of $F_d(\theta)$, for different values of the parameter α. Define

$$A_d(\theta) := \sum_{\{j_k\} \in \mathcal{J}_1} \frac{1}{\theta_{j_2} \cdots \theta_{j_d}} \int_{-\theta_{j_d}}^{\theta_{j_d}} \cdots \int_{-\theta_{j_2}}^{\theta_{j_2}} |\theta_{j_1} + \eta_2 + \cdots + \eta_d|^\alpha \, d\eta_2 \ldots d\eta_d. \quad (6)$$

The set $\mathcal{J}_1$, over which summation in (6) is made, consists of d sequences $\{j_k\}$. These sequences are formed as follows: the element j_1 takes all the values $1, 2, \ldots, d$ and, for a chosen j_1, the sequence of values $\{j_2, j_3, \ldots, j_d\}$ is obtained from $\{1, 2, \ldots, d\}$ by removing the member j_1.

Theorem 2. *Let $d \geq 2$ and $\alpha > 0$. Then*

$$F_d(\theta) \simeq \begin{cases} \dfrac{2}{\alpha}\Gamma(1-\alpha)\cos\Big(\dfrac{\pi\alpha}{2}\Big)A_d(\theta) & \text{for } \alpha < 2, \\ \dfrac{2^{d-1}(d+2)}{3}|\theta|^2 \log\dfrac{1}{|\theta|} & \text{for } \alpha = 2, \\ \dfrac{1}{6}\Big(\displaystyle\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2n+1)^d(n+1) - (2n-1)^d(n-1)}{n^{d+\alpha-1}}\Big)|\theta|^2 & \text{for } \alpha > 2, \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

where $|\theta| := \sqrt{\theta_1^2 + \cdots + \theta_d^2}$ is the Euclidean norm of the vector $\theta = \{\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_d\}$.

The function $A_d(\theta)$ is positive for $\theta \neq 0$ and homogeneous of order α, that is, $A_d(t\theta) \equiv t^\alpha A_d(\theta)$ for $t \geq 0$. From here the next corollary follows.

Corollary 1. *Let $d \geq 2$ and $0 < \alpha < 2$. Then*

$$F_d(\theta) \simeq \frac{2}{\alpha}\Gamma(1-\alpha)\cos\Big(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\Big)|\theta|^\alpha A_d\Big(\frac{\theta}{|\theta|}\Big),$$

where $0 < c \leq A_d(\theta) \leq C < \infty$ for all θ satisfying $|\theta| = 1$.

The integral representation (6) for the function $A_d(\theta)$ in (7) may be found inconvenient for one reason or another. It is possible to get rid of integrals in (6), and thereby in (7), by taking advantage of the fact that the multiple integral in (6) can be computed explicitly.

Theorem 3. *Let $d \geq 2$ and $0 < \alpha < 2$. Then the function $A_d(\theta)$ admits the following alternative representation:*

$$A_d(\theta) = \frac{1}{(\alpha+1)\cdots(\alpha+d-1)} \times \\ \times \sum_{\{j_k\}\in\mathcal{J}_1} \frac{1}{\theta_{j_2}\cdots\theta_{j_d}} \sum_{s_2,\ldots,s_d=\pm 1} s_2\cdots s_d(\theta_{j_1} + s_2\theta_{j_2} + \cdots + s_d\theta_{j_d})^{(d-1,\alpha)}, \tag{8}$$

where $x^{(m,\alpha)} := x^m|x|^\alpha$.

Example 1. *Let $0 < \alpha < 2$ then*

$$F_2(\theta) \simeq \frac{2}{\alpha(\alpha+1)}\Gamma(1-\alpha)\cos\Big(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\Big) \\ \times \Big(\frac{1}{\theta_2}\big((\theta_1+\theta_2)|\theta_1+\theta_2|^\alpha - (\theta_1-\theta_2)|\theta_1-\theta_2|^\alpha\big) \\ + \frac{1}{\theta_1}\big((\theta_2+\theta_1)|\theta_2+\theta_1|^\alpha - (\theta_2-\theta_1)|\theta_2-\theta_1|^\alpha\big)\Big).$$

Now consider the function

$$F(\theta) = \sum_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^d\setminus\{0\}} a_z(1-\cos\langle z,\theta\rangle), \qquad \theta \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{9}$$

defined by a series of more general form than (1). If the coefficients a_z satisfy

$$0 < a_* \le a_z \|z\|^{d+\alpha} \le a^* < \infty, \quad z \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}, \tag{10}$$

then

$$a_* F_d(\theta) \le F(\theta) \le a^* F_d(\theta), \tag{11}$$

that is, in this case, one can only say that, for every $\alpha > 0$, the order of decrease of the function $F(\theta)$ at zero is the same as the order of decrease of the function $F_d(\theta)$. Under additional assumptions, the asymptotic behavior of the function $F(\theta)$ can be specified explicitly, if to use Theorem 2 for $F_d(\theta)$.

Theorem 4. *Let $0 < \alpha \le 2$ and $a_z\|z\|^{d+\alpha} \to 1$ as $\|z\| \to \infty$. Then $F(\theta) \simeq F_d(\theta)$ as $\theta \to 0$.*

In the case when $\alpha > 2$ and $a_z\|z\|^{d+\alpha} \to 1$ for $\|z\| \to \infty$, as in the case of (10), we again can only argue that $F(\theta)$ will have the same order of decrease at zero as the function $F_d(\theta)$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1

We will begin with transformation of the function $F_d(\theta)$ to a form playing a key role in the subsequent constructions:

$$F_d(\theta) = \sum_{z\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{1}{\|z\|^{d+\alpha}}\big(1 - e^{i\langle z,\theta\rangle}\big) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{d+\alpha}} \sum_{\|z\|=n} \big(1 - e^{i\langle z,\theta\rangle}\big),$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned}
F_d(\theta) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{d+\alpha}} \Bigg(\sum_{\|z\|\le n} \big(1 - e^{i\langle z,\theta\rangle}\big) - \sum_{\|z\|\le n-1} \big(1 - e^{i\langle z,\theta\rangle}\big) \Bigg) \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{d+\alpha}} \Bigg((2n+1)^d - (2n-1)^d - \sum_{\|z\|\le n} e^{i\langle z,\theta\rangle} + \sum_{\|z\|\le n-1} e^{i\langle z,\theta\rangle} \Bigg) \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{d+\alpha}} \big((2n+1)^d - (2n-1)^d \big) \\
&\quad - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{d+\alpha}} \Bigg(\sum_{|k_1|,\dots,|k_d|\le n} e^{ik_1\theta_1} \cdots e^{ik_d\theta_d} \\
&\qquad\qquad - \sum_{|k_1|,\dots,|k_d|\le n-1} e^{ik_1\theta_1} \cdots e^{ik_d\theta_d} \Bigg).
\end{aligned}$$

Let us note that

$$\sum_{k=-n}^{n} e^{ik\theta} = \frac{e^{i(n+\frac{1}{2})\theta} - e^{-i(n+\frac{1}{2})\theta}}{e^{i\frac{\theta}{2}} - e^{-i\frac{\theta}{2}}} = \frac{\sin(n+\frac{1}{2})\theta}{\sin\frac{\theta}{2}}.$$

for any real $\theta \neq 0$. Therefore the function $F_d(\theta)$ can be represented in the form

$$F_d(\theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{d+\alpha}} \Bigg((2n+1)^d - (2n-1)^d \\ - \Bigg(\prod_{j=1}^{d} \frac{\sin(n+\frac{1}{2})\theta_j}{\sin\frac{\theta_j}{2}} - \prod_{j=1}^{d} \frac{\sin(n-\frac{1}{2})\theta_j}{\sin\frac{\theta_j}{2}} \Bigg) \Bigg). \quad (12)$$

So, we have proved the following

Lemma 1. *The function $F_d(\theta)$ can be represented in the form*

$$F_d(\theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{d+\alpha}} f_{d,n}(\theta), \quad (13)$$

where

$$f_{d,n}(\theta) = (2n+1)^d - (2n-1)^d - \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{d} \sin\frac{\theta_j}{2}} S_d\Big(n\theta, \frac{\theta}{2}\Big) \quad (14)$$

and

$$S_d(\varphi, \psi) := \prod_{j=1}^{d} \sin(\varphi_j + \psi_j) - \prod_{j=1}^{d} \sin(\varphi_j - \psi_j), \qquad \varphi, \psi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Lemma 1 indicates that properties of the function $F_d(\theta)$ are determined by properties of the function $S_d(\varphi, \psi)$. Therefore let us investigate the function $S_d(\varphi, \psi)$ in more detail.

Lemma 2. *The function $S_d(\varphi, \psi)$ can be represented in the form*

$$S_d(\varphi, \psi) = 2 \sum_{m \in \mathcal{O}_d} \sum_{\{j_k\} \in \mathcal{J}_m} \sin\psi_{j_1} \cdots \sin\psi_{j_m} \cos\psi_{j_{m+1}} \cdots \cos\psi_{j_d} \\ \times \cos\varphi_{j_1} \cdots \cos\varphi_{j_m} \sin\varphi_{j_{m+1}} \cdots \sin\varphi_{j_d}.$$

Proof. By definition

$$S_d(\varphi, \psi) = S_d^+(\varphi, \psi) - S_d^-(\varphi, \psi),$$

where

$$S_d^+(\varphi, \psi) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} \sin(\varphi_j + \psi_j) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} (\sin\varphi_j \cos\psi_j + \cos\varphi_j \sin\psi_j),$$
$$S_d^-(\varphi, \psi) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} \sin(\varphi_j - \psi_j) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} (\sin\varphi_j \cos\psi_j - \cos\varphi_j \sin\psi_j).$$

From here it is seen that the function $S_d^+(\varphi, \psi)$, as well as the function $S_d^+(\varphi, \psi)$, is a sum of products of sines and cosines in the variables φ_j and ψ_j. The only difference between

them is that in the representation of $S_d^+(\varphi,\psi)$ all the products of sines and cosines are prepended by the plus sign while in the representation of $S_d^-(\varphi,\psi)$ half of such products are prepended by the minus sign. Under summation half of such products of sines and cosines will be mutually eliminated while others will be doubled. More specifically, there will be doubled those products in the expansion of $S_d^-(\varphi,\psi)$ that have odd number of multipliers of the form $\cos\varphi_j \sin\psi_j$, which is reflected in the condition of the lemma. The lemma is proved. □

Lemma 2 shows that, in the representation of the function $S_d(\varphi,\psi)$ as a sum of products of sines and cosines, each product consists of odd number of cosine multipliers in the variables φ_j and some number of sine multipliers in the variables φ_j. In connection with this let us find out how do the products of cosines in different variables or the products of sines preceded by one cosine look like.

Lemma 3. *For each $m \geq 1$ the following representation is valid:*

$$\cos\varphi_1 \cos\varphi_2 \cdots \cos\varphi_m = \frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{\{s_k\}\in\mathcal{U}_m} \cos(s_1\varphi_1 + \cdots + s_m\varphi_m). \tag{15}$$

Proof. For $m = 1$ equality (15) is obvious. Further the proof is carried out by induction. □

Lemma 4. *For each $d \geq 2$ the following representation is valid:*

$$\cos\varphi_1 \sin\varphi_2 \cdots \sin\varphi_d = \frac{1}{2^{d-1}} \int_{-\varphi_d}^{\varphi_d} \cdots \int_{-\varphi_2}^{\varphi_2} \cos(\varphi_1 + \sigma_2 + \cdots + \sigma_d)\, d\sigma_2 \ldots d\sigma_d.$$

Proof. For $d = 2$ the claim is verified directly. Further the proof is carried out by induction. □

If the product $\cos\varphi_1 \cdots \cos\varphi_m \sin\varphi_{m+1} \cdots \sin\varphi_d$ contains not less than two cosines, that is $m \geq 2$, then the following lemma holds which generalizes Lemma 4.

Lemma 5. *For $1 \leq m < d$, $d \geq 2$, the following representation is valid:*

$$\begin{aligned}&\cos\varphi_1 \cdots \cos\varphi_m \sin\varphi_{m+1} \cdots \sin\varphi_d \\ &= \frac{1}{2^d} \sum_{\{s_k\}\in\mathcal{U}_m} \int_{-\varphi_d}^{\varphi_d} \cdots \int_{-\varphi_{m+1}}^{\varphi_{m+1}} \cos(s_1\varphi_1 + \cdots + s_m\varphi_m + \sigma_{m+1} + \cdots + \sigma_d)\, d\sigma_{m+1} \ldots d\sigma_d.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Expanding by Lemma 3 the product of cosines $\cos\varphi_1 \cdots \cos\varphi_m$ in a sum of cosines we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}&\cos\varphi_1 \cdots \cos\varphi_m \sin\varphi_{m+1} \cdots \sin\varphi_d \\ &\qquad = \frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{\{s_k\}\in\mathcal{U}_m} \cos(s_1\varphi_1 + \cdots + s_m\varphi_m) \sin\varphi_{m+1} \cdots \sin\varphi_d.\end{aligned}$$

Then, by applying to the summands in the right-hand part the integral representation from Lemma 4, we deduce the claim of the lemma. □

In view of Lemma 1 the arguments of the function $S_d(\varphi,\psi)$ in the representation (13), (14) of $F_d(\theta)$ have a special form: $\varphi = n\theta$ and $\psi = \frac{\theta}{2}$. Therefore to complete the proof of Theorem 1 we need to provide a more detailed analysis of properties of the function $S_d\Big(n\theta, \frac{\theta}{2}\Big)$.

By Lemma 2

$$\frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{d}\sin\frac{\theta_j}{2}} S_d\Big(n\theta,\frac{\theta}{2}\Big)$$
$$= 2\sum_{m\in\mathcal{O}_d}\sum_{\{j_k\}\in\mathcal{J}_m}\cot\frac{\theta_{j_{m+1}}}{2}\cdots\cot\frac{\theta_{j_d}}{2}\cos n\theta_{j_1}\cdots\cos n\theta_{j_m}\sin n\theta_{j_{m+1}}\cdots\sin n\theta_{j_d}.$$

Replacing by Lemma 5 in this last equality the products of sines and cosines in the variables $n\theta_j$ by the related sums of integrals we get:

$$\frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{d}\sin\frac{\theta_j}{2}} S_d\Big(n\theta,\frac{\theta}{2}\Big)$$
$$= 2\frac{1}{2^d}\sum_{m\in\mathcal{O}_d}\sum_{\{j_k\}\in\mathcal{J}_m}\Bigg(\cot\frac{\theta_{j_{m+1}}}{2}\cdots\cot\frac{\theta_{j_d}}{2}$$
$$\times\sum_{\{s_k\}\in\mathcal{U}_m}\int_{-n\theta_{j_d}}^{n\theta_{j_d}}\cdots\int_{-n\theta_{j_{m+1}}}^{n\theta_{j_{m+1}}}\cos(s_1 n\theta_{j_1}+\cdots+s_m n\theta_{j_m}$$
$$+\sigma_{m+1}+\cdots+\sigma_d)\,d\sigma_{m+1}\ldots d\sigma_d\Bigg).$$

Carrying out the change of variables $\sigma_j = n\eta_j$ in these integrals we achieve that cosines under the integrals will depend on n-fold arguments:

$$\frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{d}\sin\frac{\theta_j}{2}} S_d\Big(n\theta,\frac{\theta}{2}\Big)$$
$$= 2\frac{1}{2^d}\sum_{m\in\mathcal{O}_d}\sum_{\{j_k\}\in\mathcal{J}_m} n^{d-m}\Bigg(\cot\frac{\theta_{j_{m+1}}}{2}\cdots\cot\frac{\theta_{j_d}}{2}$$
$$\times\sum_{\{s_k\}\in\mathcal{U}_m}\int_{-\theta_{j_d}}^{\theta_{j_d}}\cdots\int_{-\theta_{j_{m+1}}}^{\theta_{j_{m+1}}}\cos n(s_1\theta_{j_1}+\cdots+s_m\theta_{j_m}$$
$$+\eta_{m+1}+\cdots+\eta_d)\,d\eta_{m+1}\ldots d\eta_d\Bigg).$$

Hence the term $f_{d,n}(\theta)$ in (13), (14) can be represented in the following form

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{d,n}(\theta) &= (2n+1)^d - (2n-1)^d \\
&\quad - 2\frac{1}{2^d} \sum_{m\in\mathcal{O}_d} \sum_{\{j_k\}\in\mathcal{J}_m} n^{d-m} \Big(\cot\frac{\theta_{j_{m+1}}}{2} \cdots \cot\frac{\theta_{j_d}}{2} \\
&\qquad \times \sum_{\{s_k\}\in\mathcal{U}_m} \int_{-\theta_{j_d}}^{\theta_{j_d}} \cdots \int_{-\theta_{j_{m+1}}}^{\theta_{j_{m+1}}} \cos n(s_1\theta_{j_1} + \cdots + s_m\theta_{j_m} \\
&\qquad\qquad + \eta_{m+1} + \cdots + \eta_d)\, d\eta_{m+1} \ldots d\eta_d \Big).
\end{aligned}
$$

From here, by subtracting unity from cosine under the integral and then again adding unity to it, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{d,n}(\theta) &= (2n+1)^d - (2n-1)^d \\
&\quad - 2\frac{1}{2^d} \sum_{m\in\mathcal{O}_d} \sum_{\{j_k\}\in\mathcal{J}_m} n^{d-m} \Big(\cot\frac{\theta_{j_{m+1}}}{2} \cdots \cot\frac{\theta_{j_d}}{2} \\
&\qquad\qquad \times \sum_{\{s_k\}\in\mathcal{U}_m} \int_{-\theta_{j_d}}^{\theta_{j_d}} \cdots \int_{-\theta_{j_{m+1}}}^{\theta_{j_{m+1}}} 1\, d\eta_{m+1} \ldots d\eta_d \Big) \\
&\quad + 2\frac{1}{2^d} \sum_{m\in\mathcal{O}_d} \sum_{\{j_k\}\in\mathcal{J}_m} n^{d-m} \Big(\cot\frac{\theta_{j_{m+1}}}{2} \cdots \cot\frac{\theta_{j_d}}{2} \\
&\qquad \times \sum_{\{s_k\}\in\mathcal{U}_m} \int_{-\theta_{j_d}}^{\theta_{j_d}} \cdots \int_{-\theta_{j_{m+1}}}^{\theta_{j_{m+1}}} \big(1 - \cos n(s_1\theta_{j_1} + \cdots + s_m\theta_{j_m} \\
&\qquad\qquad + \eta_{m+1} + \cdots + \eta_d)\big)\, d\eta_{m+1} \ldots d\eta_d \Big).
\end{aligned}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\cot\frac{\theta_{j_{m+1}}}{2} \cdots \cot\frac{\theta_{j_d}}{2} \sum_{\{s_k\}\in\mathcal{U}_m} \int_{-\theta_{j_d}}^{\theta_{j_d}} \cdots \int_{-\theta_{j_{m+1}}}^{\theta_{j_{m+1}}} 1\, d\eta_{m+1} \ldots d\eta_d \\
&\quad = \cot\frac{\theta_{j_{m+1}}}{2} \cdots \cot\frac{\theta_{j_d}}{2} \cdot 2^m \cdot (2\theta_{m+1} \cdots 2\theta_d) \\
&\qquad\qquad = 2^d \Big(\theta_{j_{m+1}} \cot\frac{\theta_{j_{m+1}}}{2}\Big) \cdots \Big(\theta_{j_d} \cot\frac{\theta_{j_d}}{2}\Big).
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{d,n}(\theta) &= (2n+1)^d - (2n-1)^d \\
&\quad - 2\sum_{m\in\mathcal{O}_d}\sum_{\{j_k\}\in\mathcal{J}_m} n^{d-m}\Big(\theta_{j_{m+1}}\cot\frac{\theta_{j_{m+1}}}{2}\Big)\cdots\Big(\theta_{j_d}\cot\frac{\theta_{j_d}}{2}\Big) \\
&\quad + 2\frac{1}{2^d}\sum_{m\in\mathcal{O}_d}\sum_{\{j_k\}\in\mathcal{J}_m} n^{d-m}\Big(\cot\frac{\theta_{j_{m+1}}}{2}\cdots\cot\frac{\theta_{j_d}}{2} \\
&\qquad \times \sum_{\{s_k\}\in\mathcal{U}_m}\int_{-\theta_{j_d}}^{\theta_{j_d}}\cdots\int_{-\theta_{j_{m+1}}}^{\theta_{j_{m+1}}}\big(1-\cos n(s_1\theta_{j_1}+\cdots+s_m\theta_{j_m} \\
&\qquad\qquad + \eta_{m+1}+\cdots+\eta_d)\big)\,d\eta_{m+1}\ldots d\eta_d\Big)
\end{aligned}
$$

or, what is the same,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{d,n}(\theta) &= (2n+1)^d - (2n-1)^d - 2\sum_{m\in\mathcal{O}_d}\sum_{\{j_k\}\in\mathcal{J}_m}(2n)^{d-m} \\
&\quad + 2\sum_{m\in\mathcal{O}_d}\sum_{\{j_k\}\in\mathcal{J}_m} n^{d-m}\Big(2^{d-m} - \Big(\theta_{j_{m+1}}\cot\frac{\theta_{j_{m+1}}}{2}\Big)\cdots\Big(\theta_{j_d}\cot\frac{\theta_{j_d}}{2}\Big)\Big) \\
&\quad + 2\frac{1}{2^d}\sum_{m\in\mathcal{O}_d}\sum_{\{j_k\}\in\mathcal{J}_m} n^{d-m}\Big(\cot\frac{\theta_{j_{m+1}}}{2}\cdots\cot\frac{\theta_{j_d}}{2} \\
&\qquad \times \sum_{\{s_k\}\in\mathcal{U}_m}\int_{-\theta_{j_d}}^{\theta_{j_d}}\cdots\int_{-\theta_{j_{m+1}}}^{\theta_{j_{m+1}}}\big(1-\cos n(s_1\theta_{j_1}+\cdots+s_m\theta_{j_m} \\
&\qquad\qquad + \eta_{m+1}+\cdots+\eta_d)\big)\,d\eta_{m+1}\ldots d\eta_d\Big).
\end{aligned}
$$

Here the external sums are taken over all the sets of indices $\{j_k\}$ with odd number of members $j_1,\ldots,j_m$. Then

$$
2\sum_{m\in\mathcal{O}_d}\sum_{\{j_k\}\in\mathcal{J}_m}(2n)^{d-m} = 2\sum_{m=1,3,\ldots\le d}\binom{d}{m}(2n)^{d-m} = (2n+1)^d-(2n-1)^d,
$$

and therefore

$$f_{d,n}(\theta) = 2\sum_{m\in\mathcal{O}_d}\sum_{\{j_k\}\in\mathcal{J}_m} n^{d-m}\Big(2^{d-m} - \Big(\theta_{j_{m+1}}\cot\frac{\theta_{j_{m+1}}}{2}\Big)\cdots\Big(\theta_{j_d}\cot\frac{\theta_{j_d}}{2}\Big)\Big)$$
$$+ 2\frac{1}{2^d}\sum_{m\in\mathcal{O}_d}\sum_{\{j_k\}\in\mathcal{J}_m} n^{d-m}\Big(\cot\frac{\theta_{j_{m+1}}}{2}\cdots\cot\frac{\theta_{j_d}}{2}$$
$$\times\sum_{\{s_k\}\in\mathcal{U}_m}\int_{-\theta_{j_d}}^{\theta_{j_d}}\cdots\int_{-\theta_{j_{m+1}}}^{\theta_{j_{m+1}}}\big(1-\cos n(s_1\theta_{j_1}+\cdots+s_m\theta_{j_m}$$
$$+\eta_{m+1}+\cdots+\eta_d)\big)\,d\eta_{m+1}\ldots d\eta_d\Big). \quad (16)$$

Substituting now the obtained expression in (13) and changing there the order of summation, using the fact that all the sums in (16) are finite, we obtain

$$F_d(\theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n^{d+\alpha}}f_{d,n}(\theta)$$
$$= 2\sum_{m\in\mathcal{O}_d}\sum_{\{j_k\}\in\mathcal{J}_m}\Big(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{n^{d-m}}{n^{d+\alpha}}\Big)\Big(2^{d-m} - \Big(\theta_{j_{m+1}}\cot\frac{\theta_{j_{m+1}}}{2}\Big)\cdots\Big(\theta_{j_d}\cot\frac{\theta_{j_d}}{2}\Big)\Big)$$
$$+ 2\frac{1}{2^d}\sum_{m\in\mathcal{O}_d}\sum_{\{j_k\}\in\mathcal{J}_m}\Big(\cot\frac{\theta_{j_{m+1}}}{2}\cdots\cot\frac{\theta_{j_d}}{2}$$
$$\times\sum_{\{s_k\}\in\mathcal{U}_m}\int_{-\theta_{j_d}}^{\theta_{j_d}}\cdots\int_{-\theta_{j_{m+1}}}^{\theta_{j_{m+1}}}\Big(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{n^{d-m}}{n^{d+\alpha}}\big(1-\cos n(s_1\theta_{j_1}+\cdots+s_m\theta_{j_m}$$
$$+\eta_{m+1}+\cdots+\eta_d)\big)\Big)\,d\eta_{m+1}\ldots d\eta_d\Big),$$

from which representation (5) follows. Theorem 1 is proved.

4. Proof of Theorem 2

Let us note that the function $F_d(\theta)$ is even and it does not change values under any permutation of the coordinates of the vector $\theta = \{\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_d\}$, and also under any change of sign of this vector. Therefore, in what follows in this section, without loss in generality the coordinates of the vector θ can be taken as nonnegative.

First show that the asymptotic behavior of the function $F_d(\theta)$ is defined actually only by those summands in (5) which relate to the case $m = 1$.

Lemma 6. *For $d \geq 2$ and $0 < \alpha \leq 2$ the following equality is valid:*

$$F_d(\theta) = 2 \sum_{\{j_k\} \in \mathcal{J}_1} \frac{1}{\theta_{j_2} \cdots \theta_{j_d}} \int\limits_{-\theta_{j_d}}^{\theta_{j_d}} \cdots \int\limits_{-\theta_{j_2}}^{\theta_{j_2}} H_\alpha(\theta_{j_1} + \eta_2 + \cdots + \eta_d)\, d\eta_2 \ldots d\eta_d + O(\|\theta\|^2). \quad (17)$$

Proof. Let us estimate the rate of growth of different summands in (5).

First sum. We start with the estimation of the first external sum in (5):

$$F_d^{(1)}(\theta) = 2 \sum_{m \in \mathcal{O}_d} \sum_{\{j_k\} \in \mathcal{J}_m} \zeta(m+\alpha) \Big(2^{d-m} - \Big(\theta_{j_{m+1}} \cot \frac{\theta_{j_{m+1}}}{2} \Big) \cdots \Big(\theta_{j_d} \cot \frac{\theta_{j_d}}{2} \Big) \Big).$$

From this inequality it is seen that $F_d^{(1)}(\theta)$ is a finite sum of the terms

$$2^{d-m} - \Big(\theta_{j_{m+1}} \cot \frac{\theta_{j_{m+1}}}{2} \Big) \cdots \Big(\theta_{j_d} \cot \frac{\theta_{j_d}}{2} \Big)$$

multiplied by some numerical factors. Since $x \cot \frac{x}{2} = 2 - \frac{1}{6} x^2 + \ldots$, where the dots denote the terms of higher order of smallness, then

$$0 \leq 2^{d-m} - \Big(\theta_{j_{m+1}} \cot \frac{\theta_{j_{m+1}}}{2} \Big) \cdots \Big(\theta_{j_d} \cot \frac{\theta_{j_d}}{2} \Big) \lesssim \theta_{j_{m+1}}^2 + \cdots + \theta_{j_d}^2 \lesssim \|\theta\|^2 \quad (18)$$

for sufficiently small values of $|\theta|$. Here for two functions $h_1(x)$ and $h_2(x)$ we write $h_1(x) \lesssim h_2(x)$ if there exists a constant $C < \infty$ such that $h_1(x) \leq C h_2(x)$ in a neighborhood of the point $x = 0$; we also write $h_1(x) \gtrsim h_2(x)$ if there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that $h_1(x) \geq c h_2(x)$ in a neighborhood of the zero point. Then (18) implies

$$\Big| F_d^{(1)}(\theta) \Big| \lesssim \|\theta\|^2. \quad (19)$$

Second sum. Consider the second external sum in (5):

$$F_d^{(2)}(\theta) = \frac{1}{2^{d-1}} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{O}_d} \sum_{\{j_k\} \in \mathcal{J}_m} \Bigg(\cot \frac{\theta_{j_{m+1}}}{2} \cdots \cot \frac{\theta_{j_d}}{2} \times \sum_{\{s_k\} \in \mathcal{U}_m} \int\limits_{-\theta_{j_d}}^{\theta_{j_d}} \cdots \int\limits_{-\theta_{j_{m+1}}}^{\theta_{j_{m+1}}} H_{m+\alpha-1}(s_1 \theta_{j_1} + \cdots + s_m \theta_{j_m} + \eta_{m+1} + \cdots + \eta_d)\, d\eta_{m+1} \ldots d\eta_d \Bigg).$$

Clearly $F_d^{(2)}(\theta)$ is a finite sum of the terms

$$R_{m, j_1, \ldots, j_d, s_1, \ldots, s_m}(\theta) := \frac{1}{2^{d-1}} \cot \frac{\theta_{j_{m+1}}}{2} \cdots \cot \frac{\theta_{j_d}}{2} \times \int\limits_{-\theta_{j_d}}^{\theta_{j_d}} \cdots \int\limits_{-\theta_{j_{m+1}}}^{\theta_{j_{m+1}}} H_{m+\alpha-1}(s_1 \theta_{j_1} + \cdots + s_m \theta_{j_m} + \eta_{m+1} + \cdots + \eta_d)\, d\eta_{m+1} \ldots d\eta_d, \quad (20)$$

where $\{s_k\} \in \mathfrak{U}_m$.

Analysis of behavior of the terms $R_{m,j_1,\dots,j_d,s_1,\dots,s_m}(\theta)$ will be different depending on whether $m = 1$ or $m = 3, 5, \dots$. Let us start with the second of these cases.

Case $m = 3, 5, \dots$. In this case $m + \alpha - 1 > 2$ and then by (4) $0 \le H_{m+\alpha-1}(x) \lesssim x^2$. Therefore

$$0 \le H_{m+\alpha-1}(s_1\theta_{j_1} + \dots + s_m\theta_{j_m} + \eta_{m+1} + \dots + \eta_d) \\ \lesssim \left(|\theta_{j_1}| + \dots + |\theta_{j_m}| + |\theta_{j_{m+1}}| + \dots + |\theta_{j_d}|\right)^2 \lesssim \|\theta\|^2$$

for all $\eta_{m+1} \in [-\theta_{j_{m+1}}, \theta_{j_{m+1}}], \dots, \eta_d \in [-\theta_{j_d}, \theta_{j_d}]$. Then for all sufficiently small values of $\|\theta\|$ we have

$$0 \le R_{m,j_1,\dots,j_d,s_1,\dots,s_m}(\theta) \lesssim \cot\frac{\theta_{j_{m+1}}}{2} \cdots \cot\frac{\theta_{j_d}}{2} \int\limits_{-\theta_{j_d}}^{\theta_{j_d}} \cdots \int\limits_{-\theta_{j_{m+1}}}^{\theta_{j_{m+1}}} \|\theta\|^2 \, d\eta_{m+1} \dots d\eta_d \\ = \left(2\theta_{j_{m+1}} \cot\frac{\theta_{j_{m+1}}}{2}\right) \cdots \left(2\theta_{j_d} \cot\frac{\theta_{j_d}}{2}\right) \|\theta\|^2 \lesssim \|\theta\|^2,$$

since each factor $2\theta_{j_k} \cot\frac{\theta_{j_k}}{2}$ is bounded for all sufficiently small values of θ_{j_k}. Hence

$$0 \le R_{m,j_1,\dots,j_d,s_1,\dots,s_m}(\theta) \lesssim \|\theta\|^2. \tag{21}$$

Case $m = 1$. By verbatim repetition of the estimates from the previous case with usage of the representation (4) we obtain, for $0 < \alpha \le 2$, that

$$0 \le R_{1,j_1,\dots,j_d,s_1}(\theta) \lesssim H^*_\alpha(\|\theta\|). \tag{22}$$

Let us show that the inverse estimate is also valid:

$$R_{1,j_1,\dots,j_d,s_1}(\theta) \gtrsim H^*_\alpha(\|\theta\|). \tag{23}$$

By (4) there exists a $q > 0$ such that $H_\alpha(x) \ge qH^*_\alpha(x)$ for all sufficiently small values of $x \ge 0$. Then, taking into account that by assumption all the coordinates of the vector θ are nonnegative, we obtain

$$R_{1,j_1,\dots,j_d,s_1}(\theta) \ge q \cot\frac{\theta_{j_2}}{2} \cdots \cot\frac{\theta_{j_d}}{2} \int\limits_{-\theta_{j_d}}^{\theta_{j_d}} \cdots \int\limits_{-\theta_{j_2}}^{\theta_{j_2}} H^*_\alpha(s_1\theta_{j_1} + \eta_2 + \dots + \eta_d) \, d\eta_2 \dots d\eta_d,$$

Since here the function $H^*_\alpha(x)$ is even then the right-hand side does not depend on the sign of $s_1 = \pm 1$, and therefore

$$R_{1,j_1,\dots,j_d,s_1}(\theta) \ge q \cot\frac{\theta_{j_2}}{2} \cdots \cot\frac{\theta_{j_d}}{2} \int\limits_{-\theta_{j_d}}^{\theta_{j_d}} \cdots \int\limits_{-\theta_{j_2}}^{\theta_{j_2}} H^*_\alpha(\theta_{j_1} + \eta_2 + \dots + \eta_d) \, d\eta_2 \dots d\eta_d,$$

Since the integrand here is nonnegative then, by appropriate reducing the region of integration, we can obtain the following inequality:

$$R_{1,j_1,\dots,j_d,s_1}(\theta) \ge q\cot\frac{\theta_{j_2}}{2}\cdots\cot\frac{\theta_{j_d}}{2}\int\limits_{\theta_{j_d}/2}^{\theta_{j_d}}\cdots\int\limits_{\theta_{j_2}/2}^{\theta_{j_2}} H_\alpha^*(\theta_{j_1}+\eta_2+\cdots+\eta_d)\,d\eta_2\dots d\eta_d,$$

from which, in view of monotone non-decreasing of the function $H_\alpha^*(x)$ for small $x \ge 0$, it follows that

$$R_{1,j_1,\dots,j_d,s_1}(\theta) \ge q\cot\frac{\theta_{j_2}}{2}\cdots\cot\frac{\theta_{j_d}}{2}\int\limits_{\theta_{j_d}/2}^{\theta_{j_d}}\cdots\int\limits_{\theta_{j_2}/2}^{\theta_{j_2}} H_\alpha^*\Big(\theta_{j_1}+\frac{\theta_{j_2}}{2}+\cdots+\frac{\theta_{j_d}}{2}\Big)\,d\eta_2\dots d\eta_d,$$

because $\eta_k \ge \frac{\theta_{j_k}}{2}$, $k=2,\dots,d$, for $\eta_k \in \big[\frac{\theta_{j_k}}{2},\theta_{j_k}\big]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} R_{1,j_1,\dots,j_d,s_1}(\theta) &\ge q\Big(\frac{\theta_{j_2}}{2}\cot\frac{\theta_{j_2}}{2}\Big)\cdots\Big(\frac{\theta_{j_d}}{2}\cot\frac{\theta_{j_d}}{2}\Big)H_\alpha^*\Big(\theta_{j_1}+\frac{\theta_{j_2}}{2}+\cdots+\frac{\theta_{j_d}}{2}\Big)\\ &\ge q\Big(\frac{\theta_{j_2}}{2}\cot\frac{\theta_{j_2}}{2}\Big)\cdots\Big(\frac{\theta_{j_d}}{2}\cot\frac{\theta_{j_d}}{2}\Big)H_\alpha^*\Big(\frac{\|\theta\|}{2}\Big), \end{aligned}$$

which, for small values of $\|\theta\|$, implies (23).

Relations (22) and (23) can be united in the following one:

$$R_{1,j_1,\dots,j_d,s_1}(\theta) \sim H_\alpha^*(\|\theta\|). \tag{24}$$

In conclusion let us simplify the expression for $R_{1,j_1,\dots,j_d,s_1}(\theta)$ by multiplying and then dividing the right-hand part of (20) on $\frac{\theta_{j_{m+1}}}{2}\cdots\frac{\theta_{j_d}}{2}$:

$$R_{1,j_1,\dots,j_d,s_1}(\theta) = \Big(\frac{\theta_{j_{m+1}}}{2}\cot\frac{\theta_{j_{m+1}}}{2}\Big)\cdots\Big(\frac{\theta_{j_d}}{2}\cot\frac{\theta_{j_d}}{2}\Big)R^*_{1,j_1,\dots,j_d,s_1}(\theta) \tag{25}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R^*_{m,j_1,\dots,j_d,s_1,\dots,s_m}(\theta) := \frac{1}{\theta_{j_{m+1}}\cdots\theta_{j_d}}\int\limits_{-\theta_{j_d}}^{\theta_{j_d}}\cdots\int\limits_{-\theta_{j_{m+1}}}^{\theta_{j_{m+1}}} H_{m+\alpha-1}(s_1\theta_{j_1}+\cdots+s_m\theta_{j_m}\\ +\eta_{m+1}+\cdots+\eta_d)\,d\eta_{m+1}\dots d\eta_d, \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

By (18)

$$\Big(\frac{\theta_{j_{m+1}}}{2}\cot\frac{\theta_{j_{m+1}}}{2}\Big)\cdots\Big(\frac{\theta_{j_d}}{2}\cot\frac{\theta_{j_d}}{2}\Big) = 1+O(\|\theta\|^2),$$

where $O(\|\theta\|^2)$ is a term whose order of smallness is not less than two. Then (24) implies

$$R^*_{1,j_1,\dots,j_d,s_1}(\theta) \sim H_\alpha^*(\|\theta\|) \tag{27}$$

and

$$R_{1,j_1,\dots,j_d,s_1}(\theta) = R^*_{1,j_1,\dots,j_d,s_1}(\theta) + O(\|\theta\|^2). \tag{28}$$

Remark that by the definition (4) the quantity $H^*_\alpha(\|\theta\|)$, for $0 < \alpha < 2$ and small values of $\|\theta\|$, has the order of growth as $\|\theta\|^\alpha$ while for $\alpha = 2$ its order of growth is $\|\theta\|^2 \log \frac{1}{\|\theta\|}$.

Final asymptotics. Relations (19), (21), (24), (26), (27) and (28) show that in the case $0 < \alpha \le 2$ the asymptotics of the function $F_d(\theta)$ as $\theta \to 0$ is determined by the terms $R^*_{1,j_1,\dots,j_d,s_1}(\theta)$. All the other terms in (5) have higher order of smallness at the origin which is not less than two. Therefore

$$F_d(\theta) = \sum_{\{j_k\}\in\mathcal{J}_1} \Bigg(\frac{1}{\theta_{j_2}\cdots\theta_{j_d}} \times \sum_{s_1=\pm 1} \int_{-\theta_{j_d}}^{\theta_{j_d}} \cdots \int_{-\theta_{j_2}}^{\theta_{j_2}} H_\alpha(s_1\theta_{j_1} + \eta_2 + \cdots + \eta_d)\, d\eta_2 \dots d\eta_d \Bigg) + O(\|\theta\|^2).$$

At last, taking into account an earlier remark that the case $s_1 = -1$ is reduced to the case $s_1 = 1$, we obtain (by doubling the number of summands corresponding to the case $s_1 = 1$) representation (17). Lemma 6 is proved. □

Let us complete the proof of theorem.

Case $0 < \alpha < 2$. To obtain the required asymptotic representation (7) in this case it suffices to substitute the asymptotic expression (4) for the function $H_\alpha(\cdot)$ in equality (17) from Lemma 6. However one should bear in mind that formula (4) does not provide any estimates for smallness of the "reminder terms" in the asymptotic representation of the integrand function $H_\alpha(\cdot)$. Owing to this we cannot affirm that the reminder terms in (7) are of the order $O(|\theta|^2)$ and would have to prove a weaker asymptotic equality.

Case $\alpha = 2$. As in the previous case, by substituting the asymptotic expression (4) for the function $H_\alpha(\cdot)$ with $\alpha = 2$ in equality (17) from Lemma 6 we obtain:

$$F_d(\theta) \simeq \sum_{\{j_k\}\in\mathcal{J}_1} \Bigg(\frac{1}{\theta_{j_2}\cdots\theta_{j_d}} \int_{-\theta_{j_d}}^{\theta_{j_d}} \cdots \int_{-\theta_{j_2}}^{\theta_{j_2}} L(\theta_{j_1} + \eta_2 + \cdots + \eta_d)\, d\eta_2 \dots d\eta_d \Bigg), \tag{29}$$

where $L(x) := x^2 \log\Big(\frac{1}{|x|}\Big)$ for small $x \in \mathbb{R}$. By using the directly verifiable equality

$$L(x) = y^2 L\Big(\frac{x}{y}\Big) + x^2 \log\Big(\frac{1}{y}\Big), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R},\ y \ne 0,$$

represent the integrand term in (29) in the following form:

$$L(\theta_{j_1} + \eta_2 + \cdots + \eta_d) = |\theta|^2 L\Big(\frac{\theta_{j_1} + \eta_2 + \cdots + \eta_d}{|\theta|}\Big) + (\theta_{j_1} + \eta_2 + \cdots + \eta_d)^2 \log\Big(\frac{1}{|\theta|}\Big),$$

where $|\theta|$ is the Euclidean norm of the vector θ. Here $|\theta_{j_1}+\eta_2+\cdots+\eta_d|\le d|\theta|$ for all θ_{j_1}, $|\eta_2|\le\theta_{j_2},\dots,|\eta_d|\le\theta_{j_d}$, and therefore by continuity of the function $L(x)$

$$\left|L\Big(\frac{\theta_{j_1}+\eta_2+\cdots+\eta_d}{|\theta|}\Big)\right|\le L^*$$

for some $L^*<\infty$.

Hence equality (29) is reduced to the form

$$F_d(\theta)\simeq\log\Big(\frac{1}{|\theta|}\Big)\sum_{\{j_k\}\in\mathcal{J}_1}\Bigg(\frac{1}{\theta_{j_2}\cdots\theta_{j_d}}\times\int\limits_{-\theta_{j_d}}^{\theta_{j_d}}\cdots\int\limits_{-\theta_{j_2}}^{\theta_{j_2}}(\theta_{j_1}+\eta_2+\cdots+\eta_d)^2\,d\eta_2\dots d\eta_d\Bigg)+O(|\theta|^2),$$

and we needed only to calculate the integrals in this last representation. By expanding each integrand we obtain that

$$(\theta_{j_1}+\eta_2+\cdots+\eta_d)^2=\theta_{j_1}^2+\eta_2^2+\cdots+\eta_d^2+\dots,$$

where the dots denote the mixed products of variables $\theta_{j_1},\eta_2,\dots,\eta_d$. Since each such mixed product is an odd function in its variables then integrating of such a function gives a zero contribution to the integral. From here

$$F_d(\theta)\simeq\log\Big(\frac{1}{|\theta|}\Big)\sum_{\{j_k\}\in\mathcal{J}_1}\Bigg(\frac{1}{\theta_{j_2}\cdots\theta_{j_d}}\times\int\limits_{-\theta_{j_d}}^{\theta_{j_d}}\cdots\int\limits_{-\theta_{j_2}}^{\theta_{j_2}}(\theta_{j_1}^2+\eta_2^2+\cdots+\eta_d^2)\,d\eta_2\dots d\eta_d\Bigg)+O(|\theta|^2),$$

Now the obtained integrals can be computed directly:

$$\begin{aligned}F_d(\theta)&\simeq\log\Big(\frac{1}{|\theta|}\Big)\sum_{\{j_k\}\in\mathcal{J}_1}2^{d-1}\Big(\theta_{j_1}^2+\frac{1}{3}\theta_{j_2}^2+\cdots+\frac{1}{3}\theta_{j_d}^2\Big)+O(|\theta|^2)\\&=2^{d-1}\Big(1+\frac{1}{3}(d-1)\Big)(\theta_1^2+\theta_2^2+\cdots+\theta_d^2)\log\Big(\frac{1}{|\theta|}\Big)+O(|\theta|^2)\\&\simeq\frac{2^{d-1}(d+2)}{3}|\theta|^2\log\Big(\frac{1}{|\theta|}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

The theorem is proved in the case $\alpha=2$.

Case $\alpha>2$. In this case the function $F_d(\theta)$ is twice continuously differentiable and has a minimum at the point $\theta=0$. Therefore its asymptotics is determined by terms of the second or higher order of smallness in its Taylor expansion. Unfortunately it is not too easy to find the required coefficients of the Taylor series directly from formula (1). Therefore we will make use of representation (12) for $F_d(\theta)$ or, what is the same,

of representation (13), (14) from Lemma 1. By (12), to compute the quadratic terms of the function $F_d(\theta)$ it suffices to find for each $n = 1, 2, \dots$ the quadratic terms of the functions

$$\tilde{S}_n(\theta) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} \frac{\sin(n+\frac{1}{2})\theta_j}{\sin\frac{\theta_j}{2}} - \prod_{j=1}^{d} \frac{\sin(n-\frac{1}{2})\theta_j}{\sin\frac{\theta_j}{2}}$$

By using the following expansions

$$\frac{\sin(n+\frac{1}{2})x}{\sin\frac{x}{2}} = (2n+1)\Big(1 - \frac{n^2+n}{6}x^2\Big) + \cdots,$$
$$\frac{\sin(n-\frac{1}{2})x}{\sin\frac{x}{2}} = (2n-1)\Big(1 - \frac{n^2-n}{6}x^2\Big) + \cdots,$$

where the dots stand for the terms of higher than second order of smallness, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{S}_n(\theta) &= (2n+1)^d - (2n-1)^d \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{6}\big((2n+1)^d(n^2+n) - (2n-1)^d(n^2-n)\big)(\theta_1^2 + \cdots + \theta_d^2) + \cdots \\ &= (2n+1)^d - (2n-1)^d \\ &\qquad - \frac{1}{6}\big((2n+1)^d(n^2+n) - (2n-1)^d(n^2-n)\big)|\theta|^2 + \cdots.\end{aligned}$$

Substituting the obtained expression in (12) and making there summation over the terms up to the second order of smallness inclusive we obtain the required representation (7). Theorem 2 is proved.

5. Proof of Theorem 3

Let us note that for each integer $m \geq 1$ and real $\alpha > 0$ the following equality holds:

$$\frac{d}{dx}(x^m|x|^\alpha) = (\alpha+m)x^{m-1}|x|^\alpha. \tag{30}$$

To prove this equality it suffices to represent the factor $|x|^\alpha$ as $|x|^\alpha = (x^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ and then make termwise differentiation of the obtained expression routinely. Then

$$\frac{d^k}{dx^k}(x^m|x|^\alpha) = (\alpha+m-k+1)\cdots(\alpha+m)x^{m-k}|x|^\alpha,$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and, in particular,

$$\frac{d^m}{dx^m}(x^m|x|^\alpha) = (\alpha+1)\cdots(\alpha+m)|x|^\alpha.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{-x_m}^{x_m} \cdots \int_{-x_2}^{x_2} |x_1 + y_2 + \cdots + y_m|^\alpha \, dy_2 \dots dy_m \\ &\qquad = \frac{1}{(\alpha+1)\cdots(\alpha+m)} \sum_{s_2,\dots,s_m=\pm 1} s_2 \cdots s_m (x_1 + s_2x_2 + \cdots + s_mx_m)^{(m,\alpha)},\end{aligned}$$

from which (8) follows. Theorem 3 is proved.

6. Proof of Theorem 4

Given arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, by the condition of Theorem 4 such a $\rho = \rho(\varepsilon)$ can be found that $\Big|1 - a_z\|z\|^{d+\alpha}\Big| \le \varepsilon$ for $\|z\| \ge \rho$. Then

$$1 - \frac{F(\theta)}{F_d(\theta)} = \frac{F_d(\theta) - F(\theta)}{F_d(\theta)} = \frac{1}{F_d(\theta)} \sum_{z:\|z\|<\rho} \Big(\frac{1}{\|z\|^{d+\alpha}} - a_z\Big)(1 - \cos\langle z, \theta\rangle)$$
$$+ \frac{1}{F_d(\theta)} \sum_{z:\|z\|\ge\rho} \Big(\frac{1}{\|z\|^{d+\alpha}} - a_z\Big)(1 - \cos\langle z, \theta\rangle)$$

Here the first summand in the right-hand part tends to zero as $\theta \to 0$ since by Theorem 1 $F_d(\theta) \sim \|\theta\|^\alpha$ in the case $0 < \alpha < 2$ and $F_d(\theta) \sim \log(\frac{1}{\|\theta\|})\|\theta\|^2$ in the case $\alpha = 2$ for small values of $\|\theta\|$, whereas all the terms under the summation sign, the number of which is finite, have quadratic order of smallness at zero.

The second summand does not exceed ε by absolute value since by the choice of ρ we have:

$$\left|\frac{1}{F_d(\theta)} \sum_{z:\|z\|\ge\rho} \Big(\frac{1}{\|z\|^{d+\alpha}} - a_z\Big)(1 - \cos\langle z, \theta\rangle)\right|$$
$$\le \frac{1}{F_d(\theta)} \sum_{z:\|z\|\ge\rho} \Big|1 - a_z\|z\|^{d+\alpha}\Big| \frac{1 - \cos\langle z, \theta\rangle}{\|\theta\|^{d+\alpha}}$$
$$\le \varepsilon \frac{1}{F_d(\theta)} \sum_{z:\|z\|\ge\rho} \frac{1 - \cos\langle z, \theta\rangle}{\|\theta\|^{d+\alpha}} \le \varepsilon \frac{F_d(\theta)}{F_d(\theta)} \le \varepsilon.$$

Hence

$$\limsup_{\theta\to 0} \left|1 - \frac{F(\theta)}{F_d(\theta)}\right| \le \varepsilon,$$

and in view of arbitrariness of ε we obtain that $F(\theta) \simeq F_d(\theta)$. Theorem 4 is proved.

Acknowledgments

The research was carried out at the Institute for Information Transmission Problems, Russian Academy of Science, at the expense of the Russian Foundation for Sciences (project 14-50-00150).

The author is grateful to E. Yarovaya, who drew his attention to the importance of calculating the Hardy type asymptotics of multi-variable trigonometric series in probability theory problems and thereby stimulated interest in the issues under discussion.

References

[1] G. H. Hardy, A theorem concerning trigonometrical series, J. London Math. Soc. S1-3 (1) (1928) 12–13. doi:10.1112/jlms/s1-3.1.12.
URL http://jlms.oxfordjournals.org/content/s1-3/1/12

[2] G. H. Hardy, Some theorems concerning trigonometrical series of a special type, Proc. London Math. Soc. S2-32 (1) (1931) 441–448. doi:10.1112/plms/s2-32.1.441.
URL http://plms.oxfordjournals.org/content/s2-32/1/441

[3] S. Aljančić, R. Bojanić, M. Tomić, Sur le comportement asymtotique au voisinage de zéro des séries trigonométriques de sinus à coefficients monotones, Acad. Serbe Sci., Publ. Inst. Math. 10 (1956) 101–120.

[4] C.-H. Yong, On the asymptotic behavior of trigonometric series. I, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 33 (1971) 23–34. doi:10.1016/0022-247X(71)90178-8.
URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0022247X71901788

[5] C.-H. Yong, On the asymptotic behavior of trigonometric series. II, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 38 (1972) 1–14. doi:10.1016/0022-247X(72)90111-4.
URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0022247X72901114

[6] G. H. Hardy, W. W. Rogosinski, Notes on Fourier series. III. Asymptotic formulae for the sums of certain trigonometrical series, Quart. J. Math., Oxford Ser. 16 (1945) 49–58.

[7] J. R. Nurcombe, On trigonometric series with quasimonotone coefficients, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 178 (1) (1993) 63–69. doi:10.1006/jmaa.1993.1291.
URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022247X83712916

[8] C.-P. Chen, L. Chen, Asymptotic behavior of trigonometric series with O-regularly varying quasimonotone coefficients, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 250 (1) (2000) 13–26. doi:10.1006/jmaa.2000.6952.
URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022247X0096952X

[9] C.-P. Chen, L. Chen, Asymptotic behavior of trigonometric series with O-regularly varying quasimonotone coefficients. II, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 245 (1) (2000) 297–301. doi:10.1006/jmaa.2000.6745.
URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022247X00967453

[10] S. Tikhonov, Trigonometric series with general monotone coefficients, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 326 (1) (2007) 721–735. doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2006.02.053.
URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022247X0600179X

[11] A. Zygmund, Trigonometric series. Vol. I, II, 3rd Edition, Cambridge Mathematical Library, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002, with a foreword by Robert A. Fefferman.

[12] E. Yarovaya, Branching random walks with heavy tails, Comm. Statist. Theory Methods 42 (16) (2013) 3001–3010. doi:10.1080/03610926.2012.703282.
URL http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/03610926.2012.703282

[13] E. B. Yarovaya, Criteria for transient behavior of symmetric branching random walks on $\mathbf{Z}$ and $\mathbf{Z}^2$, in: J. R. Bozeman, V. Girardin, C. H. Skiadas (Eds.), New Perspectives on Stochastic Modeling and Data Analysis, ISAST Athens Greece, 2014, pp. 283–294.